# A TURÁN-TYPE INEQUALITY FOR ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF EXPONENTIAL TYPE

WALI MOHAMMAD SHAH AND SOORAJ SINGH\*

ABSTRACT. Let f(z) be an entire function of exponential type  $\tau$  such that ||f|| = 1. Also suppose, in addition, that  $f(z) \neq 0$  for  $\Im z > 0$  and that  $h_f(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 0$ . Then, it was proved by Gardner and Govil [Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 123(1995), 2757-2761] that for  $y = \Im z \leq 0$ 

$$||D_{\zeta}[f]|| \le \frac{\tau}{2}(|\zeta|+1),$$

where  $D_{\zeta}[f]$  is referred to as polar derivative of entire function f(z) with respect to  $\zeta$ . In this paper, we prove an inequality in the opposite direction and thereby obtain some known inequalities concerning polynomials and entire functions of exponential type.

## 1. Introduction and Historical Background

An entire function f(z) is said to be an entire function of exponential type  $\tau$ , if it is of order less than 1 or it is of order 1 and type less than or equal to  $\tau$ . The indicator function  $h_f(\theta)$  of f is defined by

$$h_f(\theta) = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log |f(re^{i\theta})|}{r}.$$

It is important to note that if f(z) is an entire function of exponential type  $\tau$ , then the indicator function  $h_f(\theta) \leq \tau$ , for all  $\theta : 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ .

Also, define a norm called supremum norm or Chebyshev norm denoted by ||f|| as

$$||f|| = \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |f(x)|.$$

A classical result of Bernstein (for references, see [1, p.206] and [6, p.513]) states that if f(z) is an entire function of exponential type  $\tau$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq M$  on the real axis, then

$$\|f'\| \le M\tau.$$

As a refinement of (1), Boas [2] proved the following result for a special class of functions of exponential type.

Key words and phrases: Functions of exponential type, Restricted zeros, Turán's inequality.

Received November 16, 2021. Revised February 17, 2022. Accepted April 10, 2022.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 30A10, 30C10, 30D15, 42A05.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>(</sup>c) The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2022.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

THEOREM 1.1. Let f(z) be an entire function of exponential type  $\tau$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq 1$  on the real axis. Also suppose, in addition, that  $f(z) \neq 0$  for  $\Im z > 0$  and that  $h_f(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 0$ . Then

$$(2) ||f'|| \le \frac{\tau}{2}$$

On the other hand, Rahman [4] proved the following:

THEOREM 1.2. Let f(z) be an entire function of exponential type  $\tau$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq 1$  on the real axis,  $h_f(\frac{-\pi}{2}) = \tau$ ,  $h_f(\frac{\pi}{2}) \leq 0$  and  $f(z) \neq 0$  for  $y = \Im z < 0$ . Then for all real x

$$(3) |f'(x)| \ge \frac{\tau}{2}.$$

For an entire function f of exponential type  $\tau$  and for any complex number  $\zeta$ , Rahman and Schmeisser [5] defined a function  $D_{\zeta}[f]$  as

$$D_{\zeta}[f(z)] = \tau f(z) + i(1-\zeta)f'(z).$$

In the literature, the function  $D_{\zeta}[f]$  is referred to as polar derivative of entire function f of exponential type  $\tau$  with respect to  $\zeta$ . Clearly

$$\lim_{\zeta \to \infty} \frac{D_{\zeta}[f(z)]}{\zeta} = -if'(z)$$

Therefore,  $D_{\zeta}[f]$  as defined above, is a generalization of the ordinary derivative f'(z) of f(z).

As an extension of Theorem 1.1 to polar derivative, Gardner and Govil [3] proved the following result:

THEOREM 1.3. Let f(z) be an entire function of exponential type  $\tau$  such that ||f|| = 1. Also suppose, in addition, that  $f(z) \neq 0$  for  $\Im z > 0$  and that  $h_f(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 0$ . Then for  $|\zeta| \geq 1$ 

(4) 
$$||D_{\zeta}[f]|| \le \frac{\tau}{2}(|\zeta|+1)$$

### 2. Results and Discussion

In this paper, we extend Theorem 1.2 to the so called polar derivative of entire functions of exponential type and obtain some known Turán-type inequalities. In fact, we prove

THEOREM 2.1. Let f(z) be an entire function of exponential type  $\tau$  such that  $\|f\| = 1, f(z) \neq 0$  for  $y = \Im z \leq 0, h_f(\frac{-\pi}{2}) = \tau$  and  $h_f(\frac{\pi}{2}) \leq 0$ . Then for  $|\zeta| \geq 1$ (5)  $\|D_{\zeta}[f]\| \geq \frac{\tau}{2}(|\zeta| - 1).$ 

The bound is attained for the functions of the form  $f(z) = \left[\frac{e^{iz}-1}{2}\right]^{\tau}$ .

*Proof.* Since f is an entire function of exponential type  $\tau$ ,  $h_f(\frac{-\pi}{2}) = \tau$ ,  $h_f(\frac{\pi}{2}) \leq 0$  and  $f(z) \neq 0$  for  $\Im z \leq 0$ , therefore by a result due to Gardner and Govil [3, Lemma 5], we have for  $\Im z \leq 0$ 

$$|f(z)| \ge |g(z)|,$$

200

where  $g(z) = e^{i\tau z} \overline{f(\overline{z})}$ . Hence for any  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| > 1$ , we have

 $g(z) - \alpha f(z) \neq 0$ 

for  $\Im z \leq 0$ . Now, f is an entire function of exponential type  $\tau$  such that  $h_f(\frac{-\pi}{2}) = \tau$ and  $h_f(\frac{\pi}{2}) \leq 0$ . Also,  $|f(z)| \geq |g(z)|$  for  $\Im z \leq 0$ . Therefore, by a result due to Gardner and Govil [3, Lemma 7], we have for  $|\alpha| > 1$ 

$$h_{g(z)-\alpha f(z)}(\frac{-\pi}{2}) = \tau.$$

Also,  $F(z) = g(z) - \alpha f(z)$  being a linear combination of two entire functions of exponential type  $\tau$  is an entire function of exponential type  $\tau$ .

Now F(z) is an entire function of exponential type  $\tau$  having no zeros in the closed lower half-plane, that is,  $\Im z \leq 0$  and  $h_F(\frac{-\pi}{2}) = \tau$ . Therefore, by using a result due to Gardner and Govil [3, Lemma 2], we get for  $\Im z \leq 0$  and  $|\zeta| \geq 1$ 

$$D_{\zeta}[F(z)] \neq 0$$

This gives for  $\Im z \leq 0$ ,  $|\alpha| > 1$  and  $|\zeta| \geq 1$ 

(6) 
$$D_{\zeta}[g(z) - \alpha f(z)] \neq 0.$$

It follows from (6) that for  $\Im z \leq 0$  and  $|\zeta| \geq 1$ 

(7) 
$$|D_{\zeta}[f(z)]| \ge |D_{\zeta}[g(z)]|$$

where  $g(z) = e^{i\tau z} \overline{f(\bar{z})}$ .

Because if otherwise, then we can choose some  $z_0 \in \Im z \leq 0$  which does not satisfy this inequality and

$$|D_{\zeta}[f(z_0)]| < |D_{\zeta}[g(z_0)]|$$

We take  $\alpha = \frac{D_{\zeta}[g(z_0)]}{D_{\zeta}[f(z_0)]}$ , so that  $|\alpha| > 1$  and for this  $\alpha$ , we get

$$D_{\zeta}[g(z_0) - \alpha f(z_0)] = 0$$

contradicting (6). Hence inequality (7) holds true. Now, we have  $g(z) = e^{i\tau z} \overline{f(\overline{z})}$ . On differentiating both sides, we get

$$g'(z) = e^{i\tau z} \overline{f'(\bar{z})} + i\tau e^{i\tau z} \overline{f(\bar{z})} = e^{i\tau z} (\overline{f'(\bar{z})} + i\tau \overline{f(\bar{z})})$$

This gives for  $y = \Im z$ 

$$|g'(z)| = e^{-\tau y} |f'(\bar{z}) - i\tau f(\bar{z})|$$

Therefore for real x, we have

(8) 
$$|g'(x)| = |f'(x) - i\tau f(x)|.$$

Also,  $g(z) = e^{i\tau z} \overline{f(\overline{z})}$  implies  $f(z) = e^{i\tau z} \overline{g(\overline{z})}$ . Therefore, we get (9)  $|f'(x)| = |g'(x) - i\tau g(x)|.$ 

Now, for  $|\zeta| \ge 1$ 

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{\zeta}[f(z)]| + |D_{\zeta}[g(z)]| &= |\tau f(z) + i(1-\zeta)f'(z)| + |\tau g(z) + i(1-\zeta)g'(z)| \\ &= |\tau f(z) + if'(z) - i\zeta f'(z)| + |\tau g(z) + ig'(z) - i\zeta g'(z)| \\ &= |\zeta f'(z) - f'(z) + i\tau f(z)| + |\zeta g'(z) - g'(z) + i\tau g(z)| \\ &\geq |\zeta||f'(z)| - |f'(z) - i\tau f(z)| + |\zeta||g'(z)| - |g'(z) - i\tau g(z)| \end{aligned}$$

W. M. Shah and S. Singh

By using (8) and (9), we get for real x and  $|\zeta| \ge 1$ 

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{\zeta}[f(x)]| + |D_{\zeta}[g(x)]| &\geq |\zeta||f'(x)| - |f'(x) - i\tau f(x)| + |\zeta||g'(x)| - |g'(x) - i\tau g(x)| \\ &= |\zeta||f'(x)| - |f'(x) - i\tau f(x)| + |\zeta||f'(x) - i\tau f(x)| - |f'(x)| \\ &= (|\zeta| - 1)(|f'(x)| + |f'(x) - i\tau f(x)|) \\ &\geq (|\zeta| - 1)(|f'(x) - f'(x) + i\tau f(x)|) \\ &= (|\zeta| - 1)\tau|f(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

This in particular gives

(10) 
$$||D_{\zeta}[f]|| + ||D_{\zeta}[g]|| \ge (|\zeta| - 1)\tau ||f|| = (|\zeta| - 1)\tau.$$

From inequality (7), we can easily deduce that for real  $x, -\infty < x < \infty$  and  $|\zeta| \ge 1$ 

$$|D_{\zeta}[f(x)]| \ge |D_{\zeta}[g(x)]|$$

Equivalently

(11) 
$$||D_{\zeta}[f]|| \ge ||D_{\zeta}[g]||.$$

Combining (10) with (11), we get

$$2\|D_{\zeta}[f]\| \ge \|D_{\zeta}[f]\| + \|D_{\zeta}[g]\| \\\ge (|\zeta| - 1)\tau.$$

From this, the desired result follows.

REMARK 2.2. On dividing both sides of inequality (5) by  $|\zeta|$  and letting  $|\zeta| \to \infty$ , we get Theorem 1.2.

REMARK 2.3. If p(z) is a polynomial of degree n such that  $p(z) \neq 0$  for  $|z| \geq 1$ , then  $f(z) := p(e^{iz})$  is an entire function of exponential type less than or equal to n, such that  $f(z) \neq 0$  for  $\Im z \leq 0$ . Furthermore

$$D_{\zeta}[f(z)] = D_{\zeta e^{iz}}[p(e^{iz})].$$

Hence, if we choose  $\beta = \zeta e^{iz}$ , then Theorem 2.1 can be clearly seen as a generalization of the following sharp extension of Turán's inequality to the polar derivative of a polynomial due to Shah [7]

THEOREM 2.4. Let p(z) be a polynomial of degree n such that all the zeros of p(z) lie in |z| < 1. Then for  $|\beta| \ge 1$ 

(12) 
$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\beta}p(z)| \ge \frac{n}{2}(|\beta|-1)\max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|.$$

# Acknowledgements

The authors are highly grateful to the referee for his/her useful suggestions.

202

#### References

- [1] R. P. Boas, Jr., Entire functions, Academic Press, New York, 1954.
- [2] R. P. Boas, Jr., Inequalities for asymmetric entire functions, Illinois J. Math 1 (1957), 94–97.
- [3] R. B. Gardner and N. K. Govil, Some inequalities for entire functions of exponential type, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 123 (9) (1995), 2757–2761.
- [4] Q. I. Rahman, On asymmetric entire functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 14 (1963), 507–508.
- [5] Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, Extension of a theorem of Laguerre to entire functions of exponential type, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 122 (1987), 463–468.
- [6] Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, Analytic theory of polynomials, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [7] W. M. Shah, A generalization of a theorem of Paul Turán, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc., 11 (1) (1996), 67–72.

### Wali Mohammad Shah

Department of Mathematics, Central University of Kashmir, Jammu and Kashmir, India. *E-mail*: wmshah@rediffmail.com

# Sooraj Singh

Department of Mathematics, Central University of Kashmir, Jammu and Kashmir, India.

E-mail: soorajsingh@cukashmir.ac.in