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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider a modified version of Smirnov operator and obtain some Bernstein-type inequalities preserved by this operator. In particular, we prove some results which in turn provide the compact generalizations of some well-known inequalities for polynomials.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{P}_{n}$ denote the class of polynomials $f(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ of degree atmost $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the open unit disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} ;|z|<1\}$, so that $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is its closure and $\delta \mathbb{D}$ denotes the boundary. For any polynomial $f \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$, we have the following result due to Bernstein [3].

Theorem 1.1. Let $f \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq n \max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomials having zeros at the origin.

Aziz and Dawood proved that if $f(z)$ has all its zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq n \min _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $R \geq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(R z)| \geq R^{n} \min _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequalities (2) and (3) are sharp and equality holds for the polynomials having all zeros at the origin.
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For the class of polynomials having no zeros in $\mathbb{D}$, inequality (1.1) can be sharpened. In fact, if $f(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2} \max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $R>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(R z)| \leq\left(\frac{R^{n}+1}{2}\right) \max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (4) was conjectured by Erdös and later verified by Lax [8], whereas Ankeny and Rivilin [1] used (4) to prove (5). Inequalities (4) and (5) were further improved by Aziz and Dawood [2], where under the same hypothesis, it was shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2}\left\{\max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)|-\min _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)|\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $R>1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(R z)| \leq\left(\frac{R^{n}+1}{2}\right) \max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)|-\left(\frac{R^{n}-1}{2}\right) \min _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality in (4)-(7) holds for the polynomials of the form $f(z)=\alpha z^{n}+\beta$, with $|\alpha|=|\beta|$. In 1930 Bernstein [4] also proved the following result:

Theorem 1.2. Let $F(z)$ be a polynomial in $\mathbb{P}_{n}$ having all zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $f(z)$ be a polynomial of degree not exceeding that of $F(z)$. If $|f(z)| \leq|F(z)|$ on $\delta \mathbb{D}$, then

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right| \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}
$$

Equality holds only if $f=e^{i \gamma} F, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.
For $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$, denoting by $\Omega_{|z|}$ the image of the disc $\{t \in \mathbb{C} ;|t| \leq|z|\}$ under the mapping $\psi(t)=\frac{t}{1+t}$, Smirnov [9] as a generalization of Theorem 1.2 proved the following:

Theorem 1.3. Let $f$ and $F$ be polynomials possessing conditions as in Theorem 1.2. Then for $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathbb{S}_{\alpha}[f](z)\right| \leq\left|\mathbb{S}_{\alpha}[F](z)\right| \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\alpha \in \overline{\Omega_{|z|}}$, with $\mathbb{S}_{\alpha}[f](z):=z f^{\prime}(z)-n \alpha f(z)$, where $\alpha$ is a constant.
For $\alpha \in \overline{\Omega_{|z|}}$ in (8) equality holds at a point $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ only if $f=e^{i \gamma} F, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.
We note that for fixed $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$, (8) can be replaced by (see for reference [6])

$$
\left|z f^{\prime}(z)-n \frac{a z}{1+a z} f(z)\right| \leq\left|z F^{\prime}(z)-n \frac{a z}{1+a z} F(z)\right|,
$$

where $a$ is arbitrary number from $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$.
Equivalently for $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$

$$
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right| \leq\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[F](z)\right|
$$

where $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)=(1+a z) f^{\prime}(z)-n a f(z)$ is known as modified Smirnov operator.
The modified Smirnov operator $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}$ is more preferred in a sense than Smirnov operator $\mathbb{S}_{\alpha}$, because the parameter $a$ of $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}$ does not depend on $z$ unlike parameter $\alpha$ of $\mathbb{S}_{\alpha}$.

## 2. Main Results

Before writing our main results, we prove the following lemmas which are required for their proofs.

Lemma 2.1. Let $F \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$, and has all zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Let $a \in \delta \mathbb{D}$ be not the exceptional value for $F$. Then all zeros of $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[F]$ lie in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

The above lemma is due to Ganenkova and Starkov [6].
Lemma 2.2. If $f \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$, such that $f(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right| \leq\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z)\right| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(z)=z^{n} \overline{f\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}$.
Proof. Since $g(z)=z^{n} \overline{f\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}$, therefore $|g(z)|=|f(z)|$ for $z \in \delta \mathbb{D}$, and hence $\frac{g(z)}{f(z)}$ is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. By Maximum Modulus Principle, we have

$$
|g(z)| \leq|f(z)| \text { for } z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}
$$

Or equivalently,

$$
|f(z)| \leq|g(z)| \text { for } z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}
$$

Therefore for every $\beta$ with $|\beta|>1$, the polynomial $f(z)-\beta g(z)$ has all zeros in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$. By Lemma 2.1, $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f-\beta g](z)$ has all its zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Since $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}$ is linear, therefore $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)-\beta \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z)$ has all its zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, which in particular gives

$$
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right| \leq\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z)\right| \text { for } z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D} .
$$

Because, if this is not true, then there exists some $z_{0}$ with $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$, such that

$$
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f]\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g]\left(z_{0}\right)\right| .
$$

Choosing $\beta=\frac{\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f]\left(z_{0}\right)}{\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g]\left(z_{0}\right)}$, so that $|\beta|>1$. For this value of $\beta, \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)-\beta \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z)=0$ for some $z=z_{0} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore

$$
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right| \leq\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z)\right| \text { for } z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D} .
$$

Lemma 2.3. If $f \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$ with $|f(z)| \leq \mathbb{M}$ for $z \in \delta \mathbb{D}$. Then

$$
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right| \leq \mathbb{M}\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}
$$

Proof. Since $|f(z)| \leq \mathbb{M}$ for $z \in \delta \mathbb{D}$. If $\lambda$ is a complex number with $|\lambda|>1$. Then

$$
|f(z)|<\left|\lambda \mathbb{M} z^{n}\right| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \delta \mathbb{D} .
$$

Since $\lambda \mathbb{M} z^{n}$ has all zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, therefore by Rouche's theorem all zeros of $f(z)-\lambda \mathbb{M} z^{n}$ lie in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Hence by Lemma 2.1, all zeros of $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[f(z)-\lambda \mathbb{M} z^{n}\right]$ lie in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Since $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}$ is linear, it follows that $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)-\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[\lambda \mathbb{M} z^{n}\right]$ has all zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$.
This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right| \leq \mathbb{M}\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because if this is not true, then there exists some $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$, such that

$$
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f]\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>\mathbb{M}\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z_{0}^{n}\right]\right|
$$

Choosing $\lambda=\frac{\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f]\left(z_{0}\right)}{\mathbb{M} \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z_{0}^{n}\right]}$, so that $|\lambda|>1$. With this choice of $\lambda$, we get a contradiction and hence (10) is true.

Lemma 2.4. If $f \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$, then for $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right|+\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z)\right| \leq\left\{\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right|+n|a|\right\} \max _{|z|=1}|f(z)| \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(z)=z^{n} \overline{f\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}$.
Proof. Let $\mathbb{M}=\max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)|$, then $|f(z)| \leq \mathbb{M}$ for $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.
If $\lambda$ is any real or complex number with $|\lambda|>1$, then by Rouche's theorem

$$
P(z)=f(z)-\lambda \mathbb{M}
$$

does not vanish in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Hence by Lemma 2.2

$$
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[P](z)\right| \leq\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[Q](z)\right| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(z) & =z^{n} P \overline{\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)} \\
& =z^{n} f \overline{\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}-z^{n} \lambda \mathbb{M} \\
& =g(z)-\lambda \mathbb{M} z^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is

$$
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)-\mathbb{M} \lambda \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[1]\right| \leq\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z)-\mathbb{M} \lambda \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}
$$

Using the fact $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[1]=-n a$, we get

$$
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)-\mathbb{M} \lambda(-n a)\right| \leq\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z)-\mathbb{M} \lambda \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}
$$

This gives

$$
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right|-|n a \mathbb{M} \lambda| \leq\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z)-\mathbb{M} \lambda \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}
$$

Choosing argument of $\lambda$ suitably, which is possible by Lemma 2.3, we get

$$
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right|-n \mathbb{M}|a||\lambda| \leq \mathbb{M}|\lambda|\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right|-\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z)\right| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}
$$

Making $|\lambda| \rightarrow 1$, we get

$$
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right|+\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z)\right| \leq\left\{n|a|+\mid \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right\} \mathbb{M}
$$

This proves Lemma 2.4.
We now prove the following result which is a compact generalization of inequalities (2) and (3).

Theorem 2.5. If $f \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$ with $f(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right| \geq\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right| \min _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(1+a z) f^{\prime}(z)-n a f(z)\right| \geq n|z|^{n-1} \min _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomial $f(z)=c z^{n} ;|c| \neq 0$.
Proof. If $f(z)$ has a zero on $\delta \mathbb{D}$, then there is nothing to prove as $\min _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)|=0$. Suppose all zeros of $f(z)$ lie in $\mathbb{D}$, then $\min _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)|=m>0$ and we have

$$
m \leq|f(z)| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \delta \mathbb{D}
$$

Equivalently for every $\lambda$ with $|\lambda|<1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|m \lambda z^{n}\right|<|f(z)| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \delta \mathbb{D} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore by Rouche's theorem it follows that all zeros of $f(z)-\lambda m z^{n}$ lie in $\mathbb{D}$. This gives by Lemma 2.1 that all the zeros of $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[f(z)-\lambda m z^{n}\right]$ and hence $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)-m \lambda \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]$ lie in $\mathbb{D}$.
This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right| \leq\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because if this is not true then there exists a point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$, such that

$$
m\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z_{0}^{n}\right]\right|>\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f]\left(z_{0}\right)\right| .
$$

We take $\lambda=\frac{\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f]\left(z_{0}\right)}{m \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z_{0}^{n}\right]}$, so that $|\lambda|<1$. For this value of $\lambda, \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)-m \lambda \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]=0$ for some $z=z_{0} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$. This is a contradiction and hence we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right| \geq\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right| \min _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes proof of Theorem 2.5.
Remark 2.6. If we choose $a=0$ in (13), we get

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq n|z|^{n-1} \min _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}
$$

This in particular gives inequality (2).
Next choosing $a=-\frac{1}{z}$ in inequality (13), we get for $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$

$$
|f(z)| \geq|z|^{n} \min _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)|
$$

Taking in particular $z=R e^{i \theta}, 0 \leq \theta<2 \pi, R \geq 1$, we get for $z \in \delta \mathbb{D}$

$$
|f(R z)| \geq R^{n} \min _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)|
$$

which is equivalent to (3).
The next result we prove, gives a compact generalization of inequalities (4) and (5).

Theorem 2.7. If $f \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$, with $f(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$. Then for $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right|+n|a|\right\} \max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Or, equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(1+a z) f^{\prime}(z)-n a f(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{n|z|^{n-1}+n|a|\right\} \max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomials having all zeros on unit disk.

Proof. Note that $f(z)$ is a polynomial not vanishing inside $\mathbb{D}$. Therefore, if $g(z)=$ $z^{n} \overline{f\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}$, then by Lemma 2.2

$$
2\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right| \leq\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right|+\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z)\right| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D} .
$$

Using Lemma 2.4, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right| & \leq\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right|+\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z)\right| \\
& \leq\left\{n|a|+\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right|\right\} \max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right|+n|a|\right\} \max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves Theorem 2.7.
Remark 2.8. If we choose $a=0$ in inequality (18), we get

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2}|z|^{n-1} \max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D} .
$$

Choosing $a=-\frac{1}{z}$ in (18), we get

$$
|f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(|z|^{n}+1\right) \max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D} .
$$

Taking in particular $z=R e^{i \theta}, 0 \leq \theta<2 \pi$, so that $|z|=R \geq 1$, we get for $z \in \delta \mathbb{D}$

$$
|f(R z)| \leq \frac{R^{n}+1}{2} \max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| .
$$

As a refinement of Theorem 2.7, we next prove the following result which is a compact generalization of inequalities (6) and (7).

Theorem 2.9. If $f \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$ such that $f(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then for $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right|+n|a|\right\} \max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)|-\left\{\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right|-n|a|\right\} \min _{z \in \in \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalently
$\left|(1+a z) f^{\prime}(z)-n a f(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{n|z|^{n-1}+n|a|\right\} \max _{z \in \mathcal{D} \mathbb{D}}|f(z)|-\frac{1}{2}\left\{n|z|^{n-1}-n|a|\right\} \min _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)|$.
The result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomials having all zeros on unit disk.

Proof. If $f(z)$ has a zero on $\delta \mathbb{D}$, then $m=0$ and the result follows from Theorem 2.7. We suppose that all the zeros of $f(z)$ lie in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, so that $m>0$ and

$$
m \leq|f(z)| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \delta \mathbb{D}
$$

Therefore for every complex number $\beta$ with $|\beta|<1$, we have $|f(z)|>m|\beta|$. Hence by Rouche's theorem all zeros of $F(z)=f(z)-m \beta$ lie in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. We note that $F(z)$ has no zeros on $\delta \mathbb{D}$, because if for some $z=z_{0}$, with $z_{0} \in \delta \mathbb{D}$ is a zero of $F(z)$, then

$$
F\left(z_{0}\right)=f\left(z_{0}\right)-m \beta=0
$$

This gives $\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=m|\beta|<m$, a contradiction.
Now if $G(z)=z^{n} \overline{F\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}=z^{n} \overline{f\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}-\bar{\beta} m z^{n}=g(z)-\bar{\beta} m z^{n}$, then all zeros of $G(z)$ lie in $\mathbb{D}$ and $|G(z)|=|F(z)|$ for $z \in \delta \mathbb{D}$. Therefore for every $\gamma$ with $|\gamma|>1$, the polynomial $F(z)-\gamma G(z)$ has all its zeros in $\mathbb{D}$. This gives by Lemma 2.1 all zeros of $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[F(z)-\gamma G(z)]$ and hence $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[F](z)-\gamma \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[G](z)$ lie in $\mathbb{D}$.
From this as before we conclude

$$
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[F](z)\right| \leq\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[G](z)\right| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D} .
$$

Substituting for $F(z)$ and $G(z)$ and making use of the fact that $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}$ is linear and $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[1]=-n a$, we get

$$
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)-m \beta(-n a)\right| \leq\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z)-\bar{\beta} m \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}
$$

Choosing argument of $\beta$ on right hand side suitably which is possible by Lemma 2.3 and making $|\beta| \rightarrow 1$, we get

$$
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right|-n|a| m \leq\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z)\right|-m\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D} .
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right| \leq\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z)\right|-\left\{\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]|-n| a \mid\right\} m \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (22) along with Lemma 2.4, yields for $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right| & \leq\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right|+\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z)\right|-\left\{\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right|-n|a|\right\} m \\
& \leq\left\{\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right|+n|a|\right\} \max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)|-\left\{\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right|-n|a|\right\} \min _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves Theorem 2.9 completely.
Remark 2.10. Taking $a=0$ in inequality (21), we get inequality (6) and if we take $a=-\frac{1}{z}$ in (21), we get inequality (7).

Definition 2.11. A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$ is said to be a self-inversive polynomial, if $f(z) \equiv u g(z)$, where $u \in \delta \mathbb{D}$, and $g(z)=z^{n} \overline{f\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}$.

THEOREM 2.12. If $f(z)$ is a self-inversive polynomial of degree $n$, then for $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right|+n|a|\right\} \max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(1+a z) f^{\prime}(z)-n a f(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{n|z|^{n-1}+n|a|\right\} \max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp and equality holds for the polynomial $f(z)=z^{n}+1$.

Proof. Since $f(z)$ is a self-inversive polynomial. Therefore, we have

$$
f(z)=g(z)=z^{n} \overline{f\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)} .
$$

Equivalently

$$
\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)=\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z) .
$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right| & =\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[f](z)\right|+\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}[g](z)\right| \\
& \leq\left\{\left|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{a}\left[z^{n}\right]\right|+n|a|\right\} \max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)|,
\end{aligned}
$$

from which the desired result follows.
Remark 2.13. If we choose $a=0$ in inequality (24), we get

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2}|z|^{n-1} \max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D} .
$$

Next choosing $a=-\frac{1}{z}$ in (24), we obtain the following
Corollary 2.14. If $f \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$ is a self-inversive polynomial, then for $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$

$$
|f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^{n}+1}{2} \max _{z \in \delta \mathbb{D}}|f(z)| .
$$

The result is best possible and equality holds for polynomial $f(z)=z^{n}+1$.
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