

STABILITY IN NONLINEAR NEUTRAL LEVIN-NOHEL INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

KAMEL ALI KHELIL, ABDELOUAHEB ARDJOUNI*, AND
AHCENE DJOUDI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we use the Krasnoselskii-Burton's fixed point theorem to obtain asymptotic stability and stability results about the zero solution for the following nonlinear neutral Levin-Nohel integro-differential equation

$$x'(t) + \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s)g(x(s)) ds + c(t)x'(t - \tau(t)) = 0.$$

The results obtained here extend the work of Mesmouli, Ardjouni and Djoudi [20].

1. Introduction

The Lyapunov direct method has been very effective in establishing stability results and the existence of periodic solutions for wide variety of ordinary, functional and partial differential equations. Nevertheless, in the application of Lyapunov's direct method to problems of stability in delay differential equations, serious difficulties occur if the delay is unbounded or if the equation has unbounded terms. In recent years, several investigators have tried stability by using a new technique. Particularly, Burton, Furumochi, Zhang and others began a study in which

Received March 9, 2017. Revised June 22, 2017. Accepted August 9, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34K20, 34K30, 34k40.

Key words and phrases: Fixed points, neutral integro-differential equations, stability.

* Corresponding author.

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2017.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

they noticed that some of these difficulties vanish or might be overcome by means of fixed point theory (see [1]–[22], [24]). The fixed point theory does not only solve the problem on stability but has other significant advantages over Lyapunov's direct method. The conditions of the former are often average but those of the latter are usually pointwise (see [8]).

In this paper, we consider the following nonlinear neutral Levin-Nohel integro-differential equation with variable delay

$$(1) \quad x'(t) + \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s)g(x(s)) ds + c(t)x'(t - \tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

with an assumed initial condition

$$x(t) = \phi(t), \quad t \in [m(t_0), t_0],$$

where $\phi \in C([m(t_0), t_0], \mathbb{R})$ and

$$m(t_0) = \inf \{t - \tau(t) : t \in [t_0, \infty)\}.$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that $c \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, $a \in C([t_0, \infty) \times [m(t_0), \infty), \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous with respect to its argument. We assume that $g(0) = 0$ and $\tau \in C^2([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$(2) \quad \tau'(t) \neq 1, \quad t \in [t_0, \infty).$$

Our purpose here is to use the Krasnoselskii-Burton's fixed point theorem to show the asymptotic stability and stability of the zero solution for (1). In the special case $c = 0$, Mesmouli, Ardjouni and Djoudi [20] show the zero solution of (1) is asymptotically stable with a necessary and sufficient condition by using the contraction mapping theorem in a weighted Banach space. The results presented in this paper extend the main results in [20].

2. The inversion and the fixed point theorem

One crucial step in the investigation of an equation using fixed point theory involves the construction of a suitable fixed point mapping. For that end we must invert (1) to obtain an equivalent integral equation from which we derive the needed mapping. During the process, an integration by parts has to be performed on the neutral term $x'(t - \tau(t))$. Unfortunately, when doing this, a derivative $\tau'(t)$ of the delay appears on the way, and so we have to support it.

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose that (2) holds. Then x is a solution of equation (1) if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) &= (\phi(t_0) + \gamma(t_0)\phi(t_0 - \tau(t_0))) e^{-\int_{t_0}^t A(z)dz} \\
 &+ \int_{t_0}^t \left(\int_{s-\tau(s)}^s a(s, u) (Gx)(u) du \right) e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds - \gamma(t)x(t - \tau(t)) \\
 (3) \quad &- \int_{t_0}^t [L_x(s) - \mu(s)x(s - \tau(s))] e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds, \quad t \geq t_0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_x(t) &= \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s) \left(\int_s^t \left(\int_{u-\tau(u)}^u a(u, v)x(v)dv - r(u)x(u - \tau(u)) \right) du \right. \\
 (4) \quad &\left. + \gamma(t)x(t - \tau(t)) - \gamma(s)x(s - \tau(s)) \right) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(5) \quad r(t) = \frac{c'(t)(1 - \tau'(t)) + \tau''(t)c(t)}{(1 - \tau'(t))^2}, \quad \gamma(t) = \frac{c(t)}{1 - \tau'(t)},$$

$$(6) \quad (Gx)(t) = x(t) - g(x(t)),$$

and

$$(7) \quad \mu(t) = \frac{(c'(t) + c(t)A(t))(1 - \tau'(t)) + \tau''(t)c(t)}{(1 - \tau'(t))^2}, \quad A(t) = \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s)ds.$$

Proof. Let x be a solution of (1). Rewrite (1) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 x'(t) &+ \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s)x(s)ds \\
 &- \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s) (x(s) - g(x(s))) ds + c(t)x'(t - \tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, we have

$$x(s) = x(t) - \int_s^t x'(u)du.$$

Inserting this relation into (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) + \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s) \left(x(t) - \int_s^t x'(u) du \right) ds \\ - \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s)(Gx)(s) ds + c(t)x'(t - \tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) + x(t) \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s) ds - \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s) \left(\int_s^t x'(u) du \right) ds \\ - \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s)(Gx)(s) ds + c(t)x'(t - \tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0. \end{aligned}$$

After substituting x' from (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad x'(t) + x(t) \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s) ds \\ + \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s) \left(\int_s^t \left(\int_{u-\tau(u)}^u a(u, v)x(v) dv + c(u)x'(u - \tau(u)) \right) du \right) ds \\ - \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s)(Gx)(s) ds + c(t)x'(t - \tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0. \end{aligned}$$

By performing the integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^t c(u)x'(u - \tau(u)) du \\ = \int_s^t \frac{c(u)}{1 - \tau'(u)} dx(u - \tau(u)) \\ (9) \quad = \gamma(t)x(t - \tau(t)) - \gamma(s)x(s - \tau(s)) - \int_s^t r(u)x(u - \tau(u)) du, \end{aligned}$$

where r and γ are given by (5). After substituting (9) into (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) + A(t)x(t) + L_x(t) \\ - \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s)(Gx)(s) ds + c(t)x'(t - \tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \end{aligned}$$

where A and L_x are given by (7) and (4), respectively. By the variation of constants formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) &= \phi(t_0)e^{-\int_{t_0}^t A(z)dz} + \int_{t_0}^t \left(\int_{s-\tau(s)}^s a(s, u) (Gx)(u)du \right) e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \\
 (10) \quad &- \int_{t_0}^t [L_x(s) + c(s)x'(s - \tau(s))] e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds, \quad t \geq t_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting

$$\int_{t_0}^t c(s)x'(s - \tau(s))e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{c(s)}{1 - \tau'(s)} e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} dx(s - \tau(s)).$$

By using the integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{t_0}^t c(s)x'(s - \tau(s))e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \\
 &= \frac{c(t)}{1 - \tau'(t)} x(t - \tau(t)) - \frac{c(t_0)}{1 - \tau'(t_0)} x(t_0 - \tau(t_0))e^{-\int_{t_0}^t A(z)dz} \\
 (11) \quad &- \int_{t_0}^t \mu(s)x(s - \tau(s))e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

where μ is given by (7). Finally, we obtain (3) by substituting (11) in (10). Since each step is reversible, the converse follows easily. This completes the proof. \square

Burton studied the theorem of Krasnoselskii and observed (see [9]) that Krasnoselskii result can be more interesting in applications with certain changes and formulated the Theorem 2.4 below (see [9] for its proof).

DEFINITION 2.2. Let (M, d) be a metric space and $F : M \rightarrow M$. F is said to be a large contraction if $\varphi, \psi \in M$ with $\varphi \neq \psi$, then $d(F\varphi, F\psi) < d(\varphi, \psi)$, and if for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\eta < 1$ such that

$$[\varphi, \psi \in M, d(\varphi, \psi) \geq \varepsilon] \Rightarrow d(F\varphi, F\psi) \leq \eta d(\varphi, \psi).$$

THEOREM 2.3 (Burton). Let (M, d) be a complete metric space and F be a large contraction. Suppose there is $x \in M$ and $\rho > 0$ such that $d(x, F^n x) \leq \rho$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then F has a unique fixed point in M .

Below, we state Krasnoselskii-Burton's hybrid fixed point theorem which enables us to establish a stability result of the trivial solution of

(1). For more details on Krasnoselskii's captivating theorem we refer to Smart [23] or [8].

THEOREM 2.4 (Krasnoselskii-Burton). *Let M be a closed bounded convex nonempty subset of a Banach space $(S, \|\cdot\|)$. Suppose that \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} map M into M and that*

- (i) *for all $x, y \in M \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}x + \mathcal{B}y \in M$,*
- (ii) *\mathcal{A} is continuous and $\mathcal{A}M$ is contained in a compact subset of M ,*
- (iii) *\mathcal{B} is a large contraction.*

Then there is $z \in M$ with $z = \mathcal{A}z + \mathcal{B}z$.

Here we manipulate function spaces defined on infinite t -intervals. So for compactness, we need an extension of Arzela-Ascoli theorem. This extension is taken from [[8], Theorem 1.2.2, p. 20] and is as follows.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $q : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a continuous function such that $q(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. If $\{\varphi_n(t)\}$ is an equicontinuous sequence of \mathbb{R}^m -valued functions on \mathbb{R}_+ with $|\varphi_n(t)| \leq q(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then there is a subsequence that converges uniformly on \mathbb{R}_+ to a continuous function $\varphi(t)$ with $|\varphi(t)| \leq q(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^m .*

3. Stability by Krasnoselskii-Burton's theorem

From the existence theory which can be found in [8], we conclude that for each continuous initial function $\phi : [m_0, t_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, there exists a continuous solution $x(t, t_0, \phi)$ which satisfies (1) on an interval $[0, \sigma)$ for some $\sigma > 0$ and $x(t, t_0, \phi) = \phi(t)$ for $t \in [m_0, t_0]$.

We need the following stability definitions taken from [8].

DEFINITION 3.1. The zero solution of (1) is said to be stable at $t = t_0$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $\phi : [m_0, t_0] \rightarrow (-\delta, \delta)$ implies that $|x(t, t_0, \phi)| < \varepsilon$ for all $t \geq m_0$.

DEFINITION 3.2. The zero solution of (1) is said to be asymptotically stable if it is stable at $t = t_0$ and $\delta > 0$ exists such that for any continuous function $\phi : [m_0, t_0] \rightarrow (-\delta, \delta)$ the solution $x(t, t_0, \phi)$ with $x(t, t_0, \phi) = \phi(t)$ on $[m_0, t_0]$ tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

To apply Theorem 2.4, we have to choose carefully a Banach space depending on the initial function ϕ and construct two mappings, a large

contraction and a compact operator which obey the conditions of the theorem. So let S be the Banach space of continuous bounded functions $\varphi : [m_0, \infty] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $L > 0$ and define the set

$$S_\phi = \{ \varphi \in S : \varphi \text{ is Lipschitzian, } |\varphi(t)| \leq L, t \in [m_0, \infty), \\ \varphi(t) = \phi(t) \text{ if } t \in [m_0, t_0] \text{ and } \varphi(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty \}.$$

Clearly, if $\{\varphi_n\}$ is a sequence of k -Lipschitzian functions converging to a function φ then

$$|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)| \leq |\varphi(u) - \varphi_n(u)| + |\varphi_n(u) - \varphi_n(v)| + |\varphi_n(v) - \varphi(v)| \\ \leq \|\varphi - \varphi_n\| + k|u - v| + \|\varphi - \varphi_n\|.$$

Consequently, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that φ is k -Lipschitzian. It is clear that S_ϕ is convex, bounded and complete endowed with $\|\cdot\|$.

For $\varphi \in S_\phi$ and $t \geq t_0$, define the maps \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and H on S_ϕ as follows

$$(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t) = -\gamma(t)\varphi(t - \tau(t)) - \int_{t_0}^t L_\varphi(s)e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \\ + \int_{t_0}^t \mu(s)\varphi(s - \tau(s))e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds, \tag{12}$$

$$(\mathcal{B}\varphi)(t) = (\phi(t_0) + \gamma(t_0)\phi(t_0 - \tau(t_0))) e^{-\int_{t_0}^t A(z)dz} \\ + \int_{t_0}^t \left(\int_{s-\tau(s)}^s a(s, u) (G\varphi)(u) du \right) e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds, \tag{13}$$

and

$$(H\varphi)(t) = (\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t) + (\mathcal{B}\varphi)(t). \tag{14}$$

If we are able to prove that H possesses a fixed point φ on the set S_ϕ , then $x(t, t_0, \phi) = \varphi(t)$ for $t \geq t_0$, $x(t, t_0, \phi) = \phi(t)$ on $[m_0, t_0]$, $x(t, t_0, \phi)$ satisfies (1) when its derivative exists and $x(t, t_0, \phi) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Let

$$\omega(t) = \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t |a(t, s)| \left(\int_s^t \left(\int_{u-\tau(u)}^u |a(u, v)| dv + |r(u)| \right) du + |\gamma(t)| + |\gamma(s)| \right) ds,$$

and assume that there are constants $k_1, k_2, k_3 > 0$ such that for $t_0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$,

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(z)dz \right| \leq k_1 |t_2 - t_1|, \tag{15}$$

$$(16) \quad |\tau(t_2) - \tau(t_1)| \leq k_2 |t_2 - t_1|,$$

and

$$(17) \quad |\gamma(t_2) - \gamma(t_1)| \leq k_3 |t_2 - t_1|.$$

Suppose for $t \geq t_0$,

$$(18) \quad |\mu(t)| \leq \delta A(t),$$

$$(19) \quad \omega(t) \leq \lambda A(t),$$

$$(20) \quad \sup_{t \geq t_0} |\gamma(t)| = \alpha_0,$$

and that

$$(21) \quad J(\alpha_0 + \lambda + \delta) < 1,$$

$$(22) \quad \max(|G(-L)|, |G(L)|) \leq \frac{2L}{J},$$

where $\alpha_0, \delta, \lambda, J$ are positive constants with $J > 3$.

Choose $\rho > 0$ small enough and such that

$$(23) \quad (1 + \gamma(t_0))\rho + \frac{3L}{J} \leq L.$$

The chosen ρ in the relation (23) is used below in Lemma 3.5 to show that if $\varepsilon = L$ and if $\|\phi\| < \rho$, then the solutions satisfy $x(t, t_0, \phi) < \varepsilon$.

Assume further that

$$(24) \quad t - \tau(t) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } \int_0^t A(z)dz \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(25) \quad \gamma(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(26) \quad \frac{\mu(t)}{A(t)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$(27) \quad \frac{\omega(t)}{A(t)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

We begin by showing that G given by (6) is a large contraction on the set S_ϕ . So, we suppose that $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions.

(H1) $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous on $[-L, L]$ and differentiable on $(-L, L)$,

(H2) the function g is strictly increasing on $[-L, L]$,

(H3) $\sup_{t \in (-L, L)} g'(t) \leq 1$.

THEOREM 3.3 ([1]). *Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying (H1) – (H3). Then the mapping G in (6) is a large contraction on the set S_ϕ .*

By step we will prove the fulfillment of (i), (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 2.4.

LEMMA 3.4. *Suppose that (18)–(21) and (24) hold. For \mathcal{A} defined in (12), if $\varphi \in S_\phi$, then $|(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t)| \leq L/J \leq L$. Moreover, $(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Using the conditions (18)–(21) and the expression (12) of the map \mathcal{A} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t)| &\leq |\gamma(t)| |\varphi(t - \tau(t))| + \int_{t_0}^t |L_\varphi(s)| e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t |\mu(s)| |\varphi(s - \tau(s))| e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\ &\leq \alpha_0 L + L \int_{t_0}^t \omega(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds + L \int_{t_0}^t |\mu(s)| e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\ &\leq \alpha_0 L + \lambda L \int_{t_0}^t A(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds + \delta L \int_{t_0}^t A(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\ &\leq (\alpha_0 + \lambda + \delta)L \leq \frac{L}{J} < L. \end{aligned}$$

So AS_ϕ is bounded by L as required.

Let $\varphi \in S_\phi$ be fixed. We will prove that $(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Due to the conditions $t - \tau(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (24) and (20), it is obvious that the first term on the right hand side of \mathcal{A} tends to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$. That is

$$|\gamma(t)\varphi(t - \tau(t))| \leq \alpha_0 |\varphi(t - \tau(t))| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is left to show that the two remaining integral terms of \mathcal{A} go to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Find T such that $|\varphi(t - \tau(t))| < \varepsilon$ for

$t \geq T$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{t_0}^t L_\varphi(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \right| \\
& \leq \int_{t_0}^T |L_\varphi(s)| e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds + \int_T^t |L_\varphi(s)| e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\
& \leq L e^{-\int_T^t A(z) dz} \int_{t_0}^T \omega(s) e^{-\int_s^T A(z) dz} ds + \varepsilon \int_T^t \omega(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\
& \leq L \lambda e^{-\int_T^t A(z) dz} + \varepsilon \lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{t_0}^t \mu(s) \varphi(s - \tau(s)) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \right| \\
& \leq \int_{t_0}^T |\mu(s)| |\varphi(s - \tau(s))| e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\
& \quad + \int_T^t |\mu(s)| |\varphi(s - \tau(s))| e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\
& \leq L e^{-\int_T^t A(z) dz} \int_{t_0}^T |\mu(s)| e^{-\int_s^T A(z) dz} ds + \varepsilon \int_T^t |\mu(s)| e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\
& \leq L \delta e^{-\int_T^t A(z) dz} + \varepsilon \delta.
\end{aligned}$$

The terms $L \lambda e^{-\int_T^t A(z) dz}$ and $L \delta e^{-\int_T^t A(z) dz}$ are arbitrarily smalls as $t \rightarrow \infty$, because of (24). This ends the proof. \square

LEMMA 3.5. *Let (18)–(22) and (24) hold. For \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} defined in (12) and (13), if $\varphi, \psi \in S_\phi$ are arbitrary, then*

$$\|\mathcal{A}\varphi + \mathcal{B}\psi\| \leq L.$$

Moreover, \mathcal{B} is a large contraction on S_ϕ with a unique fixed point in S_ϕ and $(\mathcal{B}\psi)(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Using the definitions (12), (13) of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} and applying (18)–(22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t) + (\mathcal{B}\psi)(t)| \\
 & \leq |(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t)| + |(\mathcal{B}\psi)(t)| \\
 & \leq \alpha_0 L + \lambda L \int_{t_0}^t A(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds + L \int_{t_0}^t |\mu(s)| e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\
 & + (1 + \gamma(t_0)) \|\phi\| e^{-\int_{t_0}^t A(z) dz} + \frac{2L}{J} \int_{t_0}^t A(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\
 & \leq (1 + \gamma(t_0)) \|\phi\| + (\alpha_0 + \lambda + \delta)L + \frac{2L}{J} \\
 & \leq (1 + \gamma(t_0)) \|\phi\| + \frac{L}{J} + \frac{2L}{J},
 \end{aligned}$$

by the monotonicity of the mapping G . So from the above inequality, by choosing the initial function ϕ having small norm, say $\|\phi\| \leq \rho$, then, and referring to (23), we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{A}\varphi + \mathcal{B}\psi\| \leq (1 + \gamma(t_0))\rho + \frac{3L}{J} \leq L.$$

Since $0 \in S_\phi$, we have also proved that $|(\mathcal{B}\psi)(t)| \leq L$. The proof that $\mathcal{B}\psi$ is Lipschitzian is similar to that of the map $\mathcal{A}\varphi$ below. To see that \mathcal{B} is a large contraction on S_ϕ with a unique fixed point, we know from Theorem 3.3 that $G(\varphi) = \varphi - g(\varphi)$ is a large contraction within the integrand. Thus, for any ε , from the proof of that Theorem 3.3, we have found $\eta < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(\mathcal{B}\varphi)(t) - (\mathcal{B}\psi)(t)| \\
 & \leq \int_{t_0}^t \left(\int_{s-\tau(s)}^s |a(s, u)| |(G\varphi)(u) - (G\psi)(u)| du \right) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\
 & \leq \eta \int_{t_0}^t \left(\int_{s-\tau(s)}^s a(s, u) \|\varphi - \psi\| du \right) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\
 & \leq \eta \int_{t_0}^t A(s) \|\varphi - \psi\| e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\
 & \leq \eta \|\varphi - \psi\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

To prove that $(\mathcal{B}\psi)(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we use (24) for the first term, and for the second term, we argue as above for the map \mathcal{A} . \square

LEMMA 3.6. *Suppose (18)–(21) hold. Then the mapping \mathcal{A} is continuous on S_ϕ .*

Proof. Let $\varphi, \psi \in S_\phi$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t) - (\mathcal{A}\psi)(t)| \\ & \leq \alpha_0 |\varphi(t - \tau(t)) - \psi(t - \tau(t))| + \int_{t_0}^t |L_\varphi(s) - L_\psi(s)| e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \\ & + \int_{t_0}^t |\mu(s)| |\varphi(s - \tau(s)) - \psi(s - \tau(s))| e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \\ & \leq \alpha_0 \|\varphi - \psi\| + \|\varphi - \psi\| \int_{t_0}^t \omega(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \\ & + \|\varphi - \psi\| \int_{t_0}^t |\mu(s)| e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \\ & \leq \alpha_0 \|\varphi - \psi\| + \lambda \|\varphi - \psi\| \int_{t_0}^t A(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \\ & + \delta \|\varphi - \psi\| \int_{t_0}^t A(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \\ & \leq (\alpha_0 + \lambda + \delta) \|\varphi - \psi\| \leq \frac{1}{J} \|\varphi - \psi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Define $\eta = \varepsilon J$. Then for $\|\varphi - \psi\| \leq \eta$, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{A}\varphi - \mathcal{A}\psi\| \leq \frac{1}{J} \|\varphi - \psi\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, \mathcal{A} is continuous. \square

LEMMA 3.7. *Let (15)–(20) and (25)–(27) hold. The function $\mathcal{A}\varphi$ is Lipschitzian and the operator \mathcal{A} maps S_ϕ into a compact subset of S_ϕ .*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in S_\phi$ and let $0 \leq t_1 < t_2$. Then

(28)

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t_2) - (\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t_1)| \\ & \leq |\gamma(t_2)\varphi(t_2 - \tau(t_2)) - \gamma(t_1)\varphi(t_1 - \tau(t_1))| \\ & + \left| \int_{t_0}^{t_2} L_\varphi(s) e^{-\int_s^{t_2} A(z)dz} ds - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L_\varphi(s) e^{-\int_s^{t_1} A(z)dz} ds \right| \\ & + \left| \int_{t_0}^{t_2} \mu(s)\varphi(s - \tau(s)) e^{-\int_s^{t_2} A(z)dz} ds - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \mu(s)\varphi(s - \tau(s)) e^{-\int_s^{t_1} A(z)dz} ds \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By hypotheses (16)–(17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (29) \quad & |\gamma(t_2)\varphi(t_2 - \tau(t_2)) - \gamma(t_1)\varphi(t_1 - \tau(t_1))| \\
 & \leq |\gamma(t_2)| |\varphi(t_2 - \tau(t_2)) - \varphi(t_1 - \tau(t_1))| + |\varphi(t_1 - \tau(t_1))| |\gamma(t_2) - \gamma(t_1)| \\
 & \leq \alpha_0 k |(t_2 - t_1) - (\tau(t_2) - \tau(t_1))| + Lk_3 |t_2 - t_1| \\
 & \leq (\alpha_0 k + \alpha_0 k k_2 + Lk_3) |t_2 - t_1|,
 \end{aligned}$$

where k is the Lipschitz constant of φ . By hypotheses (15) and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (30) \quad & \left| \int_{t_0}^{t_2} \mu(s)\varphi(s - \tau(s))e^{-\int_s^{t_2} A(z)dz} ds - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \mu(s)\varphi(s - \tau(s))e^{-\int_s^{t_1} A(z)dz} ds \right| \\
 & \leq \left| \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \mu(s)\varphi(s - \tau(s))e^{-\int_s^{t_1} A(z)dz} \left(e^{-\int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(z)dz} - 1 \right) ds \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mu(s)\varphi(s - \tau(s))e^{-\int_s^{t_2} A(z)dz} ds \right| \\
 & \leq L \left| e^{-\int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(z)dz} - 1 \right| \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \delta A(s)e^{-\int_s^{t_1} A(z)dz} ds + L \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |\mu(s)| e^{-\int_s^{t_2} A(z)dz} ds \\
 & \leq L\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(s)ds + L \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-\int_s^{t_2} A(z)dz} d \left(\int_{t_1}^s |\mu(v)| dv \right) \\
 & \leq L\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(s)ds + L \left\{ \left[e^{-\int_s^{t_2} A(z)dz} \int_{t_1}^s |\mu(v)| dv \right]_{t_1}^{t_2} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(s)e^{-\int_s^{t_2} A(z)dz} \int_{t_1}^s |\mu(v)| dv ds \right\} \\
 & \leq L\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(s)ds + L \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |\mu(v)| dv \left(1 + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(s)e^{-\int_s^{t_2} A(z)dz} ds \right) \\
 & \leq L\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(s)ds + 2L \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |\mu(v)| dv \\
 & \leq L\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(s)ds + 2L\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(v)dv \\
 & \leq 3L\delta k_1 |t_2 - t_1|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by (15) and (19), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
(31) \quad & \left| \int_{t_0}^{t_2} L_\varphi(s) e^{-\int_s^{t_2} A(z) dz} ds - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L_\varphi(s) e^{-\int_s^{t_1} A(z) dz} ds \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L_\varphi(s) e^{-\int_s^{t_1} A(z) dz} \left(e^{-\int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(z) dz} - 1 \right) ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L_\varphi(s) e^{-\int_s^{t_2} A(z) dz} ds \right| \\
&\leq L \left| e^{-\int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(z) dz} - 1 \right| \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \omega(s) e^{-\int_s^{t_1} A(z) dz} ds + L \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \omega(s) e^{-\int_s^{t_2} A(z) dz} ds \\
&\leq L \left| e^{-\int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(z) dz} - 1 \right| \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \lambda A(s) e^{-\int_s^{t_1} A(z) dz} ds + L \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \omega(s) e^{-\int_s^{t_2} A(z) dz} ds \\
&\leq \lambda L \int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(z) dz + L \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-\int_s^{t_2} A(z) dz} d \left(\int_{t_1}^s \omega(v) dv \right) \\
&\leq \lambda L \int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(z) dz + L \left\{ \left[e^{-\int_s^{t_2} A(z) dz} \int_{t_1}^s \omega(v) dv \right]_{t_1}^{t_2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(s) e^{-\int_s^{t_2} A(z) dz} \int_{t_1}^s \omega(v) dv ds \right\} \\
&\leq \lambda L \int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(z) dz + L \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \omega(v) dv \left(1 + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(s) e^{-\int_s^{t_2} A(z) dz} ds \right) \\
&\leq \lambda L \int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(z) dz + 2L \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \omega(v) dv \\
&\leq \lambda L \int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(z) dz + 2L\lambda \int_{t_1}^{t_2} A(v) dv \\
&\leq 3\lambda L k_1 |t_2 - t_1|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by substituting (29)–(31) in (28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(32) \quad & |(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t_2) - (\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t_1)| \\
&\leq (\alpha_0 k + \alpha_0 k k_2 + L k_3) |t_2 - t_1| + 3L\delta k_1 |t_2 - t_1| + 3L\lambda k_1 |t_2 - t_1| \\
&\leq K |t_2 - t_1|,
\end{aligned}$$

for a constant $K > 0$. This shows $\mathcal{A}\varphi$ that is Lipschitzian if φ is and that $\mathcal{A}S_\phi$ is equicontinuous. Next, we notice that for arbitrary $\varphi \in S_\phi$,

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t)| \\
 & \leq |\gamma(t)\varphi(t - \tau(t))| + \int_{t_0}^t |L_\varphi(s)| e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \\
 & + \int_{t_0}^t |\mu(s)| |\varphi(s - \tau(s))| e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \\
 & \leq L|\gamma(t)| + L \int_{t_0}^t \omega(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds + L \int_{t_0}^t |\mu(s)| e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \\
 & \leq L|\gamma(t)| + L \int_{t_0}^t A(s) \frac{\omega(s)}{A(s)} e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds + L \int_{t_0}^t A(s) \frac{|\mu(s)|}{A(s)} e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \\
 & := q(t),
 \end{aligned}$$

because of (25)–(27). Using a method like the one used for the map \mathcal{A} , we see that $q(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. By Theorem 2.5, we conclude that the set \mathcal{AS}_ϕ resides in a compact set. \square

THEOREM 3.8. *Let $L > 0$. Suppose that the conditions (H1) – (H3), (2) and (25)–(27) hold. If ϕ is a given initial function which is sufficiently small, then there is a solution $x(t, t_0, \phi)$ of (1) with $|x(t, t_0, \phi)| \leq L$ and $x(t, t_0, \phi) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. From Lemmas 3.4 and 3.7 we have \mathcal{A} is bounded by L , Lipschitzian and $(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. So \mathcal{A} maps S_ϕ into S_ϕ . From Lemmas 3.5 and 3.7 for arbitrary, we have $\varphi, \psi \in S_\phi$, $\mathcal{A}\varphi + \mathcal{B}\psi$ since both $\mathcal{A}\varphi$ and $\mathcal{B}\psi$ are Lipschitzian bounded by L and $(\mathcal{B}\psi)(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. From Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7, we have proved that \mathcal{A} is continuous and \mathcal{AS}_ϕ resides in a compact set. Thus, all the conditions of Theorem 2.4 are satisfied. Therefore, there exists a solution of (1) with $|x(t, t_0, \phi)| \leq L$ and $x(t, t_0, \phi) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. \square

4. Stability in weighted Banach spaces

Referring to Burton [8], except for the fixed point method, we know of no other way proving that solutions of (1) converge to zero. Nevertheless, if all we need is stability and not asymptotic stability, then we can avoid conditions (25)–(27) and still use Krasnoselskii-Burton's theorem on a Banach space endowed with a weighted norm.

Let $h : [m_0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be any strictly increasing and continuous function with $h(m_0) = 1$, $h(s) \rightarrow \infty$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Let $(S, |\cdot|_h)$ be the Banach space of continuous $\varphi : [m_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which

$$|\varphi|_h = \sup_{t \geq m_0} \left| \frac{\varphi(t)}{h(t)} \right| < \infty,$$

exists. We continue to use $\|\cdot\|$ as the supremum norm of any $\varphi \in S$ provided φ bounded. Also, we use $\|\phi\|$ as the bound of the initial function. Further, in a similar way as Theorem 3.3, we can prove that the function $G(\varphi) = \varphi - g(\varphi)$ is still a large contraction with the norm $|\cdot|_h$.

THEOREM 4.1. *If the conditions of Theorem 3.8 hold, except for (25)–(27), then the zero solution of (1) is stable.*

Proof. We prove the stability starting at t_0 . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given such that $0 < \varepsilon < L$, then for $|x| \leq \varepsilon$, find α^* with $|x - g(x)| \leq \alpha^*$ and choose a number α such that

$$(33) \quad \alpha + \alpha^* + \frac{\varepsilon}{J} \leq \varepsilon.$$

In fact, since $x - g(x)$ is increasing on $(-L, L)$, we may take $\alpha^* = \frac{2\varepsilon}{J}$. Thus, inequality (33) allows $\alpha > 0$. Now, remove the condition $\varphi(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ from S_ϕ defined previously and consider the set

$$E_\phi = \left\{ \varphi \in S : \varphi \text{ Lipshitzian, } |\varphi(t)| \leq \varepsilon, t \in [m_0, \infty) \right. \\ \left. \text{and } \varphi(t) = \phi(t) \text{ for } t \in [m_0, t_0] \right\}.$$

Define \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} on E_ϕ as before by (12), (13). We easily check that if $\varphi \in E_\phi$, then $|(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t)| \leq \varepsilon$, and \mathcal{B} is a large contraction on E_ϕ . Also, by choosing $\|\phi\| \leq \alpha$ and referring to (33), we verify that for $\varphi, \psi \in E_\phi$, $|(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t) + (\mathcal{B}\psi)(t)| \leq \varepsilon$ and $|(\mathcal{B}\psi)(t)| \leq \varepsilon$. $\mathcal{A}E_\phi$ is an equicontinuous set. According to [8], Theorem 4.0.1], in the space $(S, |\cdot|_h)$ the set $\mathcal{A}E_\phi$ resides in a compact subset of E_ϕ . Moreover, the operator $\mathcal{A} : E_\phi \rightarrow E_\phi$

is continuous. Indeed, for $\varphi, \psi \in S_\phi$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{|(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t) - (\mathcal{A}\psi)(t)|}{h(t)} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{h(t)} \{ |\gamma(t)| |\varphi(t - \tau(t)) - \psi(t - \tau(t))| \\
& + \left| \int_{t_0}^t (L_\varphi(s) - L_\psi(s)) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \right| \\
& + \left| \int_{t_0}^t \mu(s) (\varphi(s - \tau(s)) - \psi(s - \tau(s))) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \right\} \\
& \leq \alpha_0 |\varphi - \psi|_h + |\varphi - \psi|_h \int_{t_0}^t \omega(s) \frac{h(s)}{h(t)} e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\
& + |\varphi - \psi|_h \int_{t_0}^t |\mu(s)| \frac{h(s - \tau(s))}{h(t)} e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\
& \leq \alpha_0 |\varphi - \psi|_h + \lambda |\varphi - \psi|_h \int_{t_0}^t A(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\
& + \delta |\varphi - \psi|_h \int_{t_0}^t A(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\
& \leq (\alpha_0 + \lambda + \delta) |\varphi - \psi|_h \leq \frac{1}{J} |\varphi - \psi|_h.
\end{aligned}$$

The conditions of Theorem 2.4 are satisfied on E_ϕ , and so there exists a fixed point lying in E_ϕ and solving (1). \square

References

- [1] M. Adivar, M. N. Islam and Y. N. Raffoul, *Separate contraction and existence of periodic solutions in totally nonlinear delay differential equations*, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics **41** (1) (2012) 1–13.
- [2] A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, *Stability in nonlinear neutral integro-differential equations with variable delay using fixed point theory*, J. Appl. Math. Comput. **44** (2014) 317–336.
- [3] A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, *Fixed point and stability in neutral nonlinear differential equations with variable delays*, Opuscula Mathematica **32** (1) (2012) 5–19.
- [4] A. Ardjouni, A. Djoudi and I. Soualhia, *Stability for linear neutral integro-differential equations with variable delays*, Electronic journal of Differential Equations **2012(172)** (2012) 1–14.

- [5] A. Ardjouni, I. Derrardjia and A. Djoudi, *Stability in totally nonlinear neutral differential equations with variable delay*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae **83** (1) (2014) 119–134.
- [6] L. C. Becker and T. A. Burton, *Stability, fixed points and inverse of delays*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **136A** (2006) 245–275.
- [7] T. A. Burton, *Fixed points and stability of a nonconvolution equation*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **132** (2004) 3679–3687.
- [8] T. A. Burton, *Stability by Fixed Point Theory for Functional Differential Equations*, Dover Publications, New York, 2006.
- [9] T. A. Burton, *Integral Equations, Implicit Functions, and Fixed Points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996) 2383–2390.
- [10] T. A. Burton, *Liapunov functionals, fixed points, and stability by Krasnoselskii's theorem*, Nonlinear Studies **9** (2001) 181–190.
- [11] T. A. Burton, *Stability by fixed point theory or Liapunov's theory: A comparison*, Fixed Point Theory **4** (2003) 15–32.
- [12] T. A. Burton and T. Furumochi, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions of functional differential equations by fixed point theorems*, Dynamic Systems and Applications **11** (2002) 499–519.
- [13] T. A. Burton and T. Furumochi, *Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem and stability*, Nonlinear Analysis **49** (2002) 445–454.
- [14] I. Derrardjia, A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, *Stability by Krasnoselskii's theorem in totally nonlinear neutral differential equation*, Opuscula Math. **33** (2) (2013) 255–272.
- [15] N. T. Dung, *Asymptotic behavior of linear advanced differential equations*, Acta Mathematica Scientia **35B** (3) (2015) 610–618.
- [16] N. T. Dung, *New stability conditions for mixed linear Levin-Nohel integro-differential equations*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **54** (2013) 1–11.
- [17] C. H. Jin and J. W. Luo, *Stability of an integro-differential equation*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications **57** (2009) 1080–1088.
- [18] C. H. Jin and J. W. Luo, *Stability in functional differential equations established using fixed point theory*, Nonlinear Anal. **68** (2008) 3307–3315.
- [19] C. H. Jin and J. W. Luo, *Fixed points and stability in neutral differential equations with variable delays*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **136** (3) (2008) 909–918.
- [20] M. B. Mesmouli, A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, *Stability in nonlinear Levin-Nohel integro-differential equations*, Nonlinear Studies **22** (4) (2015) 705–718.
- [21] M. B. Mesmouli, A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, *Study of the stability in nonlinear neutral differential equations with functional delay using Krasnoselskii–Burton's fixed-point*, Applied Mathematics and Computation **243** (2014) 492–502.
- [22] M. B. Mesmouli, A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, *Stability in neutral nonlinear differential equations with functional delay using Krasnoselskii–Burton's fixed-point*, Nonlinear Studies, **21** (4) (2014) 601–617.
- [23] D. R. Smart, *Fixed point theorems*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, No. 66, Cambridge University Press, London-New York, 1974.

- [24] B. Zhang, *Fixed points and stability in differential equations with variable delays*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **63** (2005) 233–242.

Kamel Ali Khelil

Department of Mathematics
Annaba University
P.O. Box 12, Annaba, 23000, Algeria
E-mail: k.alikhelil@yahoo.fr

Abdelouaheb Ardjouni

Department of Mathematics and Informatics
Souk Ahras University
P.O. Box 1553, Souk Ahras, 41000, Algeria
E-mail: abd_ardjouni@yahoo.fr

Ahcene Djoudi

Department of Mathematics
Annaba University
P.O. Box 12, Annaba, 23000, Algeria
E-mail: adjoudi@yahoo.com